



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,306	11/24/2003	Carl Frederick Edman		9308
7590 Carl Edman Suite 152 11772 Sorrento Valley Road San Diego, CA 92121		09/15/2009	EXAMINER HOLMES, REX R	
			ART UNIT 3762	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/15/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/722,306	EDMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	REX HOLMES	3762	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2009.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 21,23-27 and 37-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 21,23-27 and 37-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 21, 23-24, 27 and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Andino et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,631,294 hereinafter “Andino”).

3. Regarding claims 21, 27 and 47-48, Andino discloses a system with a current for fighting infection, wherein a signal generator (e.g. 40) provides an electrode with the current density of  $1 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$  to greater than  $10\text{mA}/\text{cm}^2$  is provided to generate a voltage gradient and a pattern of current flow that envelops and permeates the entire wound site (e.g. Col. 7, ll. 17-22). Further Andino states that the pattern of current flow maximizes the recruitment of the necessary cells to the wound location at the appropriate times during the various stages of wound healing (e.g. Col. 4, ll. 16-22). The device of Andino has a surface adapted to contact tissue, the surface having a surface charge of between  $1 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$  to greater than  $10\text{mA}/\text{cm}^2$  and the surface charge being time dependent, thus it achieves the same device limitations and further is capable of achieving the same inherent results and respective benefits as the claimed device.

4. Regarding claims 23-24, Andino discloses the claimed invention as described in detail above, but Andino fails to disclose that cells are migrated and that those cells are endothelial or fibroblast cells. Andino discloses the current density that is the same as the current density disclosed in the application, so it is inherent that the Andino invention would cause cell migration since the values are similar and would cause migration of both endothelial and fibroblast cells.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 25-26 and 37-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andino as applied to claims 21, 23-24, 27 and 47-48 above, and further in view of Rise (U.S. Pat. 5,853,424).

7. Regarding claims 25-26 and 37-39, 43 and 45-46, Andino discloses the claimed invention but fails to teach applying the current density to implantable electrodes. However, Rise discloses an implantable device with multiple electrodes (e.g. Col. 4, II. 10-30), that are implanted and provides an electrical charge from a signal generator to reduce undesired cellular responses and treat wounds (e.g. Col. 3, II. 15-56). Rise further discloses that it includes a lumen between the proximal and distal ends to conduct the flow of fluid between. Further Rise discloses that the device includes a pump reservoir to supply medicament (e.g. Col. 2, II. 44-67).

8. Regarding claims 40 and 41, since the specification is silent as to how the device communicates with the electrodes if they are not affixed to the device the examiner is taking the position that the electrodes are connected remotely to the device. In this case the electrodes of Rise are remotely connected to the device and thus anticipate claims 40 and 41.

9. Regarding claim 42, the device of Rise and/or Andino is capable of being attached outside of the body and have the leads implanted.

10. Andino discloses the claimed invention except for implanting the electrodes. However Rise teaches that it is known to use implanted electrodes and stimulating current as set forth in (e.g. Col. 3, II. 15-56) to reduce undesired cellular responses and treat wounds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Andino, with implantable electrodes as taught by rise, since such a modification would provide the predictable results of reducing undesired cellular responses and treating internal wounds.

11. Regarding claim 44, Andino in view of Rise discloses the claimed invention except for the electrode being separated from tissue by a semipermeable layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the implantable device with a current for infection control in combination with an injected antibiotic as taught by Andino in view of Rise, with electrodes coated in antibiotics since it was known in the art that lead electrodes covered in a semipermeable layer of an antibiotic, provides the predictable result of direct placement of an antibiotic near implantation sites to fight infection as opposed to injected antibiotics that take time to get to the implantation site.

***Response to Arguments***

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21, 23-27 and 37-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REX HOLMES whose telephone number is (571)272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. H./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3762

/George R Evanisko/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762

Application/Control Number: 10/722,306  
Art Unit: 3762

Page 7